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## Why IP?



Protect innovation \& brand


Increase growth and valuation


Facilitate
business deals


Defense against competitors


Licensing revenue

## Agenda (Types of Intellectual Property)

- Patent
- Trade Secret
- Trademark
- Copyright


## Patents

Right to exclude others from making, using, offering to sell, or selling an invention

## Utility Patent:

protection for 20 years from earliest filing date (with fees)


Perkinscoie

## Patents - What is patentable?

- process, machine, manufacture, composition of matter, or improvement thereof
- new to the public
- non-obvious
- would "PHOSITA" have combined prior art?
(35 U.S.C. § § 101-103)



## Parts of a Patent

## Drawings, Specification, Claims



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Turning now descriptively to the drawings, in which throughout the several view, FGS. 1 through 5 illustrate a sealed crusless sandwich 10, which generally compriseslower brcad portion 20, an upper bread portion 22, an upper |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| lower bread portion 20, an upper bread portion 22, an upper filling $30 b$ and a lower filing $30 a$ between the lower bread |  |
| portion 20 and upper bread portion 22 , a center fillag 32 sealed between the upper filling $\mathbf{3 0} b$ and the lower filling |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| therebetween. The upper filing $30 b$ and the lower filling $30 a$ are preferably comprised of peanut butter but may consist of |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| 32 is preferably compriscd of jelly but may consist of any |  |
|  |  |
|  | other edible substance such as but not limited to meat, vegetable oil, jelly, checse, honey, or fruit. The center filling |
| 32 is prevented from leaking outwardly into and through the bread portions $\mathbf{2 0 , 2 2}$ from the surrounding upper filling 30 and lower filling $30 a$. The sealed crustless sandwich preferably packaged within a resilicnt packaging 14 to |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | cylinder 40 has a sleceve 42 positioned within. The sleceve 42 preferably is sidably positioned within a lumen of the cutting |
|  |  |
|  | preferaly is sidably positioned widini 3 umen of the cutiting cylinder 40 , but may be secured within the cutting eylinder |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | pluraily of cxicnsions created fro |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | crimped edge 26 which represent the pressure extensions have projected into the bread portions 20, 22 . A cutting edge 48 of the cutting cylinder 40 is utilized to |
|  |  |
|  | cutting edge 48 of the cutting cylinder 40 is utilized to penetrate through the bread portions 20,22 as shown in FIG |
|  | 2 of the drawings. The cutting edge 48 may be formed into various shapes to form a unique design for the scaled enstless sandwich 10. The notethed edge of the sleeve 42 |
|  |  |
|  |  |

$\left.\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{|l|l}15 & \begin{array}{l}\text { We claim: } \\ \text { 1. A sealed crustless sandwich, comprising: } \\ \text { a first bread layer having a first perimeter surface coplanar } \\ \text { to a contact surface; }\end{array} \\ \text { at least one filling of an edible food juxtaposed to said } \\ \text { contact surface; } \\ \text { a second bread layer juxtaposed to said at least one filling } \\ \text { opposite of said first bread layer, wherein said second } \\ \text { bread layer includes a second perimeter surface similar } \\ \text { to said first perimeter surface; } \\ \text { a crimped edge directly between said first perimeter } \\ \text { surface and said second perimeter surface for sealing } \\ \text { said at least one filling between said first bread layer } \\ \text { and said second bread layer; }\end{array}\right.\right\}$

35 U.S.C. § 112:

- must describe claimed subject matter
- must enable a skilled person to make and use the invention
- particularly point out and distinctly claim the invention


## Design Patents

- ornamental design for a useful article, not function
- e.g., GUIs, product "looks"
- 15 years from issue date (no maintenance fees)


D604305

D618677

```
(57)
CLAIM
The ornamental design of an electronic device, as shown and described.
```


## Patents - Right to Exclude, but not to Practice

Patent = right to exclude, but not right to practice.

Even if you are practicing your own patent, are you also practicing a technology protected by someone else's patent?

Consider:

- freedom-to-operate opinions
- licenses



## Direct Patent Infringement

- making, using, offering to sell, selling a patented invention within the US, or importing patented invention into the US
- to infringe: widget must have every element in a claim, literally or under the Doctrine of Equivalents

A transportation device comprising: a frame;
two wheels attached to the frame; and a horn.

A transportation device comprising: a frame;
two wheels attached to the frame; and a seat.

(35 U.S.C. § 271)

## Indirect Patent Infringement

- induced infringement
- ask or direct another to infringe (e.g., selling a product with instructions to cause an infringing use)
- contributory infringement
- e.g., selling/importing a material component that does not have substantial non-infringing use


## Patent Litigation

- District Court, appeal to Federal Circuit:
- Claim construction: Markman process
- Show infringement by preponderance of evidence
- Show invalidity by clear and convincing evidence
- e.g., anticipation, obviousness, indefiniteness, inequitable conduct



## Patent Remedies

- Damages
- patent owner's lost profits
- reasonable royalties
- pre-suit damages
- triple damages for "willful" infringement
- injunction


## Other Patent Litigation Forums

- International Trade Commission: patent owner can sue to prevent import of infringing products
- Patent Trial and Appeal Board (PTAB/USPTO): anyone can challenge patentability of the claims
- e.g.: inter partes review ("IPR"):

- administrative patent judges
- only novelty (§ 102) / obviousness (§ 103) based on patents or printed publications
- preponderance of evidence standard
- must file petition within 1 year of service of infringement complaint (if any)
- 50-60\% institution rate
- $80 \%$ of Final Written Decisions render claims unpatentable



## The Exchange - How to get a patent

The right to exclude is in exchange for public disclosure in the patent document (drawings, description).


## Example U.S. Non-Provisional Examination Process(es)



## Types of Patent Applications

- U.S. Non-Provisional (examined)
- Continuations, Divisionals, Continuations-in-Part
- U.S. Provisional (not examined)
- Foreign / PCT?

UNITED STATES
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
uspto

## Patents - Reminders and Practice Pointers

- inventor = person who conceived of the claimed invention
- employment contract: include present transfer of patent rights, not merely a promise to assign in the future
- good to get specific assignments for each application
- Duty of Disclosure to USPTO (all material prior art)
- includes your own prior public disclosure
- e.g., sales, offers for sale, publications, trade shows...
- U.S.: 1-year grace period for your own disclosure
- Some jurisdictions (e.g., Europe) = "absolute novelty"
- First to file wins.

First to Invent
First to File


## What is a Trade Secret?

## Trade Secret

All forms and types of information, if
(1) reasonable measures have been taken to keep it secret; and
(2) it has independent economic value because it is not generally known or readily ascertainable

## What is a Trade Secret?



## Scope of Trade Secrets



## Why Not Trade Secrets (or not only trade secrets)

- Don't cover all angles of protection
- Business reason to disclose
- Information discernible by others?
- Requires ongoing efforts to protect and enforce
- Protection could be lost


## How to protect Al trade secrets

Minimum: "Reasonable" Efforts To Protect
BUT remember:


## Protecting Trade Secrets

- Physical barriers
- Technical barriers
- Limit dissemination
- Employee, customer, vendor, business partner protections
- NDAs
- Be proactive and reasonable


## Misappropriation

## Misappropriation =

Acquisition with knowledge, or
Disclosure or use

- If acquired improperly
- If acquired innocently, but learned it was a trade secret before material change in circumstances

| Case | Type | Damages/Settlement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Epic v. Tata | Business relationship | $\$ 940 \mathrm{M}$ (reduced) |
| DuPont v. Kolon | Employee transition | $\$ 919 \mathrm{M}$ (vacated) |
| Lexar v. Toshiba | Board member | $\$ 465 \mathrm{M}$ (settled) |
| Move v. Zillow | Employee transition | $\$ 130 \mathrm{M}$ (settlement) |
| Waymo v. Uber | Employee transition | $\$ 245 \mathrm{M}$ (settlement) |
| Title Source v. <br> HouseCanary | Business relationship | $\$ 706 \mathrm{M}$ (verdict) |

## How Trade Secrets Move



Business Collaboration


Employees, Vendors, and

## Customers



Other Technological
Means

## Risk spectrum

| Risk Level | People Overlap | Projects Similar | No Independent Development Efforts | Confidential Information Shared | Confidential Information Used |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |  |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  |
| 5 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |  |
| 6 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## You don't want to be a trade secret defendant

Company policies and procedures
General physical/technical restrictions
Employees \& employee agreements
Vendor/customer agreements
NDAs
Terms of Use/Service

Practices

Compliance attestations
Limitations on use and access or separate teams

Specific physical or technical barriers Independent development

Clean room


## CLE Code Word: General

## What is a Trademark?

## Trademark

Any word, name, symbol, device, or any combination, used or intended to be used
to identify and distinguish the goods/services of one seller or provider from those of others, and to indicate the source of the goods/services.

## Sample marks

## (intel)



Google

## Microsoft
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## Thinking about your marks

- Choosing a mark: What kind of mark(s) serves your business?
- Before you start using: Consider pre-clearing
- Do I have to register: No, but there are good reasons to
- Is it true that if you don't use it, you lose it: Possibly
- What if someone else uses it: Set up a program for enforcement and monitoring


## I might be confused...

## Likelihood of confusion varies by jurisdiction, but all similar

 $9^{\text {th }}$ Circuit Sleekcraft factors:- Mark strength
- Proximity of goods
- Similarity of marks
- Actual confusion
- Marketing channels
- Type of goods and degree of care of purchasers
- Defendant's intent
- Likelihood of expansion of product lines


## Copyright

- Original work of authorship fixed in a tangible medium of expression (a creation in distributable form)
. literary works
- movies
- computer software
- architectural designs
- paintings
- Expression, not ideas or facts
- lasts life of author plus 70 years or more
- Exclusive right to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute, publicly perform, publicly display, publicly perform by digital audio
- Work for hire
- Fair use


## Fair Use



## Fair Use



## Patchwork (or tapestry) of protections

|  | Patent | Copyright | Trademark | Trade Secret |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Protection | process, machine, <br> manufacture, or <br> composition of matter <br> Design: ornamental <br> appearance | Expression | Source of <br> goods/services | Non-public information |
| Typical Duration | Utility Patent: 20 years <br> from filing <br> Design Patent: 15 years <br> from issue | Life of author + <br> 70 years | Indefinite | Indefinite |
| Original Owner | Inventor or Assignee | Author of the expression | Source of the <br> goods/services | Owner of the information |
| Registration Required | Yes - Application | No, but registration $\rightarrow$ <br> additional rights | No, but registration $\rightarrow$ <br> additional rights | No |
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